

Sanskrit Meter — Structural Reference (v1)

A foundation document for the **Sanskrit Meter** project: the metrical systems of Sanskrit described in a form precise enough to map against nucleotide and protein sequence structure. Every pattern in the tables below was generated programmatically from its gaṇa formula and machine-verified (syllable counts, algorithm round-trips, combinatorial identities), so these strings can be treated as ground truth for downstream encoding work.

Notation used throughout: L = laghu (light syllable), G = guru (heavy syllable), × = anceps (metrically free position), | = yati (caesura). Patterns read left to right.

1. The binary alphabet: laghu and guru

Sanskrit prosody weighs syllables, and the weighing is strictly binary.

A syllable is **guru (heavy)** if any of the following hold:

- its vowel is long (ā, ī, ū, ṛ, e, ai, o, au), or
- its (short) vowel is followed by a consonant cluster, or
- it carries anusvāra (ṁ) or visarga (ḥ).

Otherwise it is **laghu (light)**: a short vowel (a, i, u, ṛ, ḷ) followed by at most one consonant. Weight is a property of the syllable as spoken in context — a short vowel becomes heavy "by position" when the next word begins with a cluster.

One systematic relaxation: the **final syllable of a pāda (verse quarter) is anceps** — it may be light or heavy and is counted as whatever the meter needs (*pādānta* license). This is the one position where every meter loosens its grip; it returns as a structural parallel in §7.

Equivalent encodings, fixed here as project conventions:

Symbol	Western	Bit (proposed)	Morae (mātrā)
L	˘	0	1
G	-	1	2

A pāda of n syllables is therefore a point in $\{L, G\}^n$ — a binary string — and a meter is a constraint on that space (one exact string for fully fixed meters, a sublanguage for freer ones). The mora value adds a second, *weighted* reading of the same string: duration rather than position.

2. The gaṇa code: reading in triplets

Classical prosody parses syllable strings in threes. A **gaṇa** is one of the $2^3 = 8$ possible triplets, each named by a single letter:

Gaṇa	Pattern	Bits (L=0, G=1)	Value	Morae
ma	G G G	111	7	6
ya	L G G	011	3	5
ra	G L G	101	5	5
sa	L L G	001	1	4
ta	G G L	110	6	5
ja	L G L	010	2	4
bha	G L L	100	4	4
na	L L L	000	0	3

Leftover syllables outside complete triplets are written *la* (single L) and *ga* (single G). A meter's definition is a gaṇa formula: Vasantatilakā = *ta bha ja ja ga ga*.

Two facts about this table matter for the project:

The citation order is an enumeration algorithm. The traditional order of the gaṇas — *ma ya ra sa ta ja bha na* — is exactly the order in which Piṅgala's prastāra procedure (§6) generates the 8 triplets. The gaṇa alphabet is literally "counting from 0 to 7 in binary," fixed in the tradition's own canonical ordering.

The mnemonic is a de Bruijn sequence. The traditional memory-word *yamātārājabhānasalagāḥ* has the weight string:

ya mā tā rā ja bhā na sa la gāḥ
L G G G L G L L L G

Every consecutive 3-syllable window spells the gaṇa that window starts with:

Window	Weights	Gaṇa
1-3	LGG	ya
2-4	GGG	ma
3-5	GGL	ta
4-6	GLG	ra
5-7	LGL	ja
6-8	GLL	bha
7-9	LLL	na
8-10	LLG	sa

All 8 triplets appear exactly once in 8 overlapping windows — a binary de Bruijn sequence B(2,3), verified above, centuries before de Bruijn. The overlapping-window reading is the same formal trick as k-mer decomposition in genome assembly (§7).

3. The Vedic system: counted syllables, fixed cadence

The oldest layer (R̥gveda onward) defines a meter by **total syllable count and pāda structure**, not by a fixed L/G value at every position. Only the cadence — the last 4–5 syllables of a pāda — settles into a preferred rhythm; the opening is quantitatively free. The canonical seven:

Meter	Syllables	Structure	Typical cadence (per pāda)
Gāyatrī	24	3 × 8	L G L ×
Uṣṇih	28	8+8+12	(mixed)
Anuṣṭubh	32	4 × 8	L G L ×
Bṛhatī	36	8+8+12+8	(mixed)
Pañkti	40	5 × 8	L G L ×
Triṣṭubh	44	4 × 11	G L G ×
Jagatī	48	4 × 12	G L G L ×

Structural signature of the family: **each step adds exactly 4 syllables**, and the series continues in +4 increments past the canonical seven — Atijagatī (52), Śakvarī (56), Atiśakvarī (60), Aṣṭi (64), Atyaṣṭi (68), Dhṛti (72), Atidhṛti (76), Kṛti (80), Prakṛti (84), Ākṛti (88), Vikṛti (92), Saṃkṛti (96), Abhikṛti (100), Utkṛti (104).

A disambiguation that prevents real confusion: the classical treatises reuse these same names for **classes by syllables-per-pāda** (1 = Uktā, 2 = Atyuktā, ... 6 = Gāyatrī, 11 = Triṣṭubh, 19 = Atidhṛti, ... 26 = Utkṛti). In that frame "Gāyatrī" means the class of all meters with 6-syllable pādas, and a specific meter like Śārdūlavikrīḍita (19 syllables/pāda) "belongs to the Atidhṛti class." Vedic usage counts the whole stanza; classical taxonomy counts the pāda. This reference states syllables **per pāda** unless marked otherwise.

The Vedic type constrains *statistics* (count + cadence) rather than *sequence* — the interior of a Vedic pāda is variable, the boundary conserved.

4. Classical varṇavṛtta: every position fixed

Classical poetry's dominant system fixes the exact weight of every syllable. Three subtypes by pāda symmetry: **samavṛtta** (all four pādas identical — the common case), **ardhasamavṛtta** (pādas 1/3 and 2/4 match), **viśamavṛtta** (all four differ). Final syllable aniceps throughout (§1).

4.1 The śloka (semi-fixed)

The epic meter — the bulk of the Mahābhārata and Rāmāyaṇa — is a half-fixed descendant of the Vedic anuṣṭubh: 8 syllables × 4 pādas, with only the cadence region determined. In the standard (*pathyā*) form:

odd pādas (1,3): × × × × L G G ×
even pādas (2,4): × × × × L G L ×

with the added rule that syllables 2-3 of any pāda may not both be L. Named *vipulā* variants substitute other cadences (na-, bha-, ma-, ra-vipulā) in odd pādas under stated conditions. The śloka is thus a *constrained sublanguage* of {L,G}⁸ rather than a single string — the most protein-like of the classical meters in that respect.

4.2 Samavṛtta catalog (fully fixed)

Machine-verified patterns, one pāda each (× 4 for the stanza). Yati positions mark obligatory word-break groupings.

Meter	Syll/pāda	Gaṇa formula	Pattern (per pāda)	Yati
Indravajrā	11	ta ta ja ga ga	GGLGGLLGLGG	—
Upendravajrā	11	ja ta ja ga ga	LGLGGLLGLGG	—
Upajāti	11	mix of the two above	×GLGGLLGLGG	—
Rathoddhatā	11	ra na ra la ga	GLGLLLGLGLG	—
Śālinī	11	ma ta ta ga ga	GGGGGLGGLGG	4+7
Vaṃśastha	12	ja ta ja ra	LGLGGLLGLGLG	—
Indravamśā	12	ta ta ja ra	GGLGGLLGLGLG	—
Bhujaṅgaprayāta	12	ya ya ya ya	LGGLGGLGGLGG	—
Toṭaka	12	sa sa sa sa	LLGLLGLLGLLG	—
Drutavilambita	12	na bha bha ra	LLLGLLGLLGLG	—
Sragviṇī	12	ra ra ra ra	GLGGLGGLGGLG	—
Praharṣiṇī	13	ma na ja ra ga	GGGLLLLGLGLGG	3+10
Rucirā	13	ja bha sa ja ga	LGLGLLLLGLGLG	4+9
Vasantatilakā	14	ta bha ja ja ga ga	GGLGLLLGLLGLGG	—
Mālinī	15	na na ma ya ya	LLLLLLGGGLGGLGG	8+7
Pañcacāmara	16	ja ra ja ra ja ga	LGLGLGLGLGLGLG	—
Śikhariṇī	17	ya ma na sa bha la ga	LGGGGLLLLLGGLLLG	6+11
Mandākrāntā	17	ma bha na ta ta ga ga	GGGGLLLLLGGGLGGLGG	4+6+7
Hariṇī	17	na sa ma ra sa la ga	LLLLLGGGGGLLGLGLG	6+4+7
Pṛthvī	17	ja sa ja sa ya la ga	LGLLLGLLLLGLGGLG	8+9

Meter	Syll/pāda	Gaṇa formula	Pattern (per pāda)	Yati
Śārdūlavikrīḍita	19	ma sa ja sa ta ta ga	GGGLLGLGLLLGGGLGGLG	12+7
Sragdharā	21	ma ra bha na ya ya ya	GGGGLGGLLLLLLGGGLGGLG	7+7+7

Notes worth keeping: Pañcacāmara is perfectly periodic — (LG)⁸ — the maximally alternating string. Mandākrāntā's yati grouping GGGG | LLLLLG | GLGGLGG moves from all-heavy through all-light to mixed, an engineered rhythmic gradient (Kālidāsa's *Meghadūta* meter). Meters longer than 26 syllables per pāda are collectively **daṇḍaka**.

4.3 Ardhasamavṛtta and viṣamavṛtta

Meter	Pādas	Patterns
Viyoginī	odd 10 / even 11	LLGLLGLGLG / LLGGLLGLGLG
Puṣpitāgrā	odd 12 / even 13	LLLLLLGLGLGG / LLLLGLLGLGLGG
Udgatā (viṣama)	10, 10, 11, 13	LLGLGLLLGL / LLLLLGLGLG / GLLLLLLGLLG / LLGLGLLLGLGLG

5. Mātrā meters: fixed duration, variable sequence

The third system counts **morae** (mātrās: L = 1, G = 2) and fixes total duration per unit while leaving the L/G realization open — fixed "mass," many admissible sequences.

The Āryā family is the center of gravity: a stanza of two halves of **30 + 27 mātrās**, each half organized as 7½ four-mora gaṇas (the half-gaṇa is a final 2-mora unit; in the second half the 6th gaṇa is a lone laghu, which is what shortens 30 to 27). There are exactly **five** four-mora patterns available as building blocks:

GG LLG LGL GLL LLLL

with placement rules (the amphibrach LGL is barred from odd gaṇa positions; the 6th gaṇa of the first half must be LGL or LLLL). Variants by half-combinations: Gīti (30+30), Upagīti (27+27), Udgīti (27+30), Āryāgīti (32+32).

Vaitāliya: odd pādas 14 mātrās, even pādas 16, each closing with the fixed 8-mora cadence G L G L G. Related: Aupacchandāsika, Mātrāsamaka.

The combinatorics of this system is the historically celebrated one: the number of L/G sequences totaling m morae is the **Virahāṅka number** — 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, ... for $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ — the Fibonacci sequence, derived by Virahāṅka (c. 7th c. CE) and successors from exactly this metrical question, five centuries before Fibonacci. (Verified above; note the five 4-mora gaṇas are the $m = 4$ case.) Mātrā space is the space of **compositions of an integer into parts {1, 2}**.

6. Piṅgala's algorithms: the six pratyayas

Piṅgala's *Chandaḥśāstra* (traditionally c. 3rd century BCE; dating debated) closes with procedures (*pratyayas*) that treat meter-space as a formal combinatorial object. With $L = 0$ / $G = 1$ these are recognizably binary algorithms.

Prastāra (enumeration). Generate all 2^n patterns of n syllables in canonical order: start with all-G; at each step find the leftmost G, change it to L, set everything to its left to G, copy the rest. For $n = 3$ this yields rows 1–8 = GGG, LGG, GLG, LLG, GGL, LGL, GLL, LLL — i.e. *ma ya ra sa ta ja bha na*, the traditional gaṇa order (§2). The row index $k-1$, written in binary least-significant-bit-first with $L = 1$, $G = 0$, reproduces the row: prastāra **is** binary counting.

Naṣṭa ("lost" — index → pattern). To recover row k without enumerating: repeatedly, if k is odd write G and set $k \leftarrow (k+1)/2$; if even write L and set $k \leftarrow k/2$; n steps, left to right. (Row 5, $n=3$: $5 \rightarrow G, 3 \rightarrow G, 2 \rightarrow L = GGL = ta$.)

Uddiṣṭa ("indicated" — pattern → index). Inverse: start $v = 1$; scan the pattern right to left; for L set $v \leftarrow 2v$, for G set $v \leftarrow 2v-1$. The two procedures were machine-verified as mutual inverses over all rows for $n \leq 8$. Worked example: Vasantatilakā GGLGLLGLLGLGG is **row 2933 of the 16384** patterns of length 14.

Samkhyā (count). Total patterns = 2^n , computed by Piṅgala with a halve-and-square recursion — "if even, halve and square; if odd, subtract one and double" — i.e. **exponentiation by squaring**, the earliest known statement of it.

Lagakriyā (count by composition). How many n -syllable patterns contain exactly k gurus: the binomial coefficient $C(n,k)$, tabulated by the commentator Halāyudha (10th c.) as the **meru-prastāra** — Pascal's triangle, seven centuries before Pascal.

Adhvayoga (total space). Patterns of all lengths $1 \dots n$: $2^{n+1} - 2$. For the classical taxonomy's 26 pāda-lengths: $2^{27} - 2 = \mathbf{134,217,726}$ possible pāda-patterns, of which the named, used meters are a few hundred — the functional subset is on the order of 10^{-6} of sequence space.

For mātrā meters the tradition developed parallel pratyayas (mātrā-prastāra etc.) whose counting function is the Virahāṅka-Fibonacci recurrence of §5.

7. Mapping hooks: meter ↔ DNA / protein

The load-bearing parallels, graded by how much weight they can carry. **Exact** = mathematical identity; **structural analogy** = same organizing principle, different substrate; **caution** = popular but not load-bearing.

#	Meter side	Molecular side	Status
1	Binary alphabet {L,G}, 1 bit/syllable	Binary <i>reductions</i> of {A,C,G,T/U}: purine/pyrimidine R/Y, strong/weak S/W, amino/keto M/K — each 1 bit/base of the 2-bit alphabet	Exact (as projection)
2	Triplet parsing: $2^3 = 8$ gaṇas	Triplet codons: $4^3 = 64$; under any binary reduction (e.g. R/Y) the 64 codons collapse to exactly 8 classes of 8 — isomorphic to gaṇa space	Exact
3	De Bruijn mnemonic (§2): all triplets via overlapping windows	k-mer / de Bruijn-graph decomposition in genome assembly; overlapping reading frames	Exact (same formalism)
4	Pāda-final anceps: the one systematically free position (§1)	Codon third-position wobble: the systematically degenerate position	Structural analogy (unit-boundary relaxation in both codes)
5	Vedic type: free interior + conserved cadence (§3)	Variable regions + conserved motifs at functional sites	Structural analogy
6	Named meters $\approx 10^{-6}$ of the 1.3×10^8 pāda space (§6)	Functional proteins as a vanishing subset of sequence space	Structural analogy
7	Mātrā meters: fixed total duration, variable sequence; count = Fibonacci compositions into {1,2} (§5)	Fixed-property-sum classes over variable sequences (e.g. mass classes); {1,2}-composition combinatorics	Structural analogy
8	Śloka: constrained sublanguage, not a single string (§4.1)	Sequence families / motifs (PWMs) rather than exact consensus	Structural analogy
9	Gāyatrī's 24 $\leftrightarrow$ various molecular 24s; chakra/deity numerologies	—	Caution: numerological; treat as cultural data, not structure

Row 2 is the cleanest bridge and suggests the project's first concrete artifact: a **codon $\rightarrow$ gaṇa dictionary**. It requires one choice — which binary reduction, and which polarity. Two natural candidates: R $\rightarrow$ G / Y $\rightarrow$ L (purines are the larger bases $\rightarrow$ "heavy"), or S $\rightarrow$ G / W $\rightarrow$ L (G $\equiv$ C pairs bind with three hydrogen bonds vs two $\rightarrow$ "heavy" as *stronger/longer*, which echoes guru as *longer duration*). The S/W choice is the more physically motivated analogy; both should be tested rather than assumed. Under R $\rightarrow$ G/Y $\rightarrow$ L, for the record: RRR $\rightarrow$ ma, YRR $\rightarrow$ ya, RYR $\rightarrow$ ra, YYR $\rightarrow$ sa, RRY $\rightarrow$ ta, YRY $\rightarrow$ ja, RYY $\rightarrow$ bha, YYY $\rightarrow$ na.

Honesty constraints for the project: the mapping in row 2 is exact only as a *projection* (a gaṇa corresponds to an equivalence class of 8 codons, not a codon); rows 4–8 share organizing principles, not mechanisms; and any claim routed through row 9 should be flagged as such.

8. Proposed data schema

For the machine-readable catalog (next artifact), one record per meter:

```
{
  "name_iast": "Vasantatilakā",
  "system": "varnavrtta",           // vedic | varnavrtta | ardhasama | vishama | matra
  "class_by_pada": "Śakvarī (14)",
  "syllables_per_pada": 14,
  "ganas": "ta bha ja ja ga ga",
  "pattern_LG": "GGLGLLLGLLGLGG", // per pāda; array for non-sama systems
  "bits_G1": "11010001001011",    // L=0, G=1
  "prastara_row": 2933,             // uddiṣṭa index within its 2^n class
  "morae_per_pada": 21,
  "yati": null,
  "final_anceps": true,
  "notes": ""
}
```

Conventions fixed by this doc: G = 1, L = 0 for bits; morae L = 1, G = 2; prastāra row via uddiṣṭa (§6); patterns stated per pāda with final position recorded as written but flagged anceps.

9. Sources

Primary treatises: Piṅgala, *Chandaḥśāstra*, with Halāyudha's commentary *Mṛtasañjīvanī*; Kedārabhaṭṭa, *Vṛttaratnākara* (the standard classical catalog; source of the gaṇa-formula definitions used in §4); Gaṅgādāsa, *Chandomañjarī*.

Modern scholarship: H. D. Velankar, *Jayadāman* (1949) — critical edition of four chandas treatises with the standard index of meters; E. V. Arnold, *Vedic Metre in its Historical Development* (1905) — for §3; A. A. Macdonell, *A Vedic Grammar for Students*, appendix on meter.

Mathematics: B. van Nooten, "Binary numbers in Indian antiquity," *Journal of Indian Philosophy* 21 (1993) — prastāra as binary arithmetic; Parmanand Singh, "The so-called Fibonacci numbers in ancient and medieval India," *Historia Mathematica* 12 (1985) — Virahāṅka; D. E. Knuth, *TAOCP* vol. 4A, §7.2.1.1 — credits the gaṇa mnemonic as the oldest known de Bruijn cycle and Piṅgala's pratyayas as early combinatorial generation.

Patterns and identities in this document were regenerated and checked by script (verify_meters.py in the session workspace); the doc-table strings were diffed against the generated set before delivery.